## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

## MARCIA M. WALDRON CLERK

## United States Court of Appeals

TELEPHONE 215-597-2995



FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT
21400 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
601 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-1790
Website: www.ca3.uscourts.gov

November 19, 2015

Beth S. Brinkmann Esq.
Julie B. Broido Esq.
Louis J. Capozzi Jr. Esq.
Linda Dreeben Esq.
William S. Massey Esq.
Scott R. McIntosh Esq.
Melissa N. Patterson Esq.
Milakshmi V. Rajapakse Esq.
Benjamin M. Shultz Esq.
Morris Tuchman Esq.

RE: NLRB v. New Vista Nursing and Rehabili

Case Numbers: 11-3440/12-1936/12-1027

District Case Number: 22-CA-29988

## Dear Counsel:

The Court requests that the parties be prepared to address the following questions at oral argument:

- 1) For purposes of our jurisdiction under section 10(e) of the NLRA, what effect, if any, do pending motions for administrative reconsideration have on the finality of the order for which the NLRB seeks enforcement?
- 2) If the NLRB lacked a proper quorum at the time it filed the administrative record with this Court, why aren't we required, under section 10(e) of the NLRA, to remand the record to the NLRB so that it can take action via a properly constituted quorum?
- 3) In light of *New Process Steel, L.P. v. N.L.R.B.*, 560 U.S. 674 (2010), and *N.L.R.B. v. Noel Canning*, 134 S. Ct. 2550 (2014), would remanding this case so that the NLRB may take action with a properly constituted quorum be the most efficient approach?

Very truly yours,

Marcia M. Waldron, Clerk

By: Tiffay J. Brise Tiffany Grier, Calendar Clerk

267-299-4905